

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,447	05/23/2006	Stuart Greenhalgh	BT/3-22349/A/PCT	4196
324 7590 03/17/2008 JoAnn Villamizar			EXAMINER	
Ciba Corporation/Patent Department 540 White Plains Road P.O. Box 2005			MACAULEY, SHERIDAN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tarrytown, NY 10591			1651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/580 447 GREENHALGH ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Sheridan R. MacAulev 1651 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 November 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/08)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1651

### DETAILED ACTION

A response and amendment were received and entered on November 1, 2007.

All evidence and arguments have been fully considered. Claim 13 was cancelled.

Claims 1-12 and 14-18 are pending and examined on the merits in this office action.

## Claim Objections

1. Claim objections have been withdrawn due to amendment.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

 Rejections under 35 USC 112 have been withdrawn due to applicant's response and amendment.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-7, 10 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Watanabe (US Pat. 4,343,900). Claim 1 recites a process for preparing a polymer of an ethylenically unsaturated monomer, in which the monomer is obtained from a biocatalysed reaction or fermentation process, and wherein the monomer contains cellular material and/or components of a fermentation process; forming the polymer by

Application/Control Number: 10/580,447
Art Unit: 1651

polymerizing the ethylenically unsaturated monomer or monomer mixture comprising the ethylenically unsaturated monomer and the cellular material and/or components of the fermentation broth. Claim 2 recites the process according to claim 1 wherein the ethylenically unsaturated monomer is prepared by providing a substrate that can be converted into an ethylenically unsaturated monomer, contacting the substrate with a biocatalyst which comprises a microorganism or cellular material and thereby converting the substrate into the ethylenically unsaturated monomer containing the cellular material and/or components of a fermentation broth and that this process is carried out inside or outside of an the cell and where it is carried out inside the cell and where it is carried out inside the cell it optionally forms part of the metabolic pathway of the microorganism. Claim 3 recites the process according to claim 2 in which the biocatalyst comprises an microorganism and wherein the process is carried out inside the cell and forms part of a metabolic process of the microorganism. Claim 4 recites the process according to claim 1 in which the cellular material comprises whole cells. Claim 5 recites the process according to claim 1 in which the cellular material comprises fractured cellular material. Claim 6 recites the process according to claim 5 in which the fractured cellular material is selected from the group consisting of cell wall material, cell membrane material, cell nucleus material, cytoplasm and proteins. Claim 7 recites the process according to claim 1 in which the components of the fermentation broth are selected from the group consisting of sugars, polysaccharides, proteins, peptides, amino acids, nitrogen sources, inorganic salts (including metal salts), vitamins, growth regulators, enzyme inducers and complex fermentation medium components. Claim 10 recites the process

Application/Control Number: 10/580,447

Art Unit: 1651

according to claim 2 in which the biocatalyst comprises a nitrile hydratase enzyme.

Claim 18 recites a composition comprising a polymer of an ethylenically unsaturated monomer and further comprising cellular material and/or components of a fermentation broth, wherein the composition is obtained by a process according to claim 1.

5. Watanabe teaches a process for preparing a polymer of an ethylenically unsaturated monomer, wherein the monomer is obtained by contacting a substrate with a biocatalyst (a microorganism) to convert the substrate into the monomer and wherein the monomer contains components of the fermentation broth (such as sugars, peptides or proteins from the cells in the column), and subsequently forming a polymer by polymerizing the ethylenically unsaturated monomer or monomer mixture comprising the monomer and cellular material or the components of the fermentation broth (abstract, col. 4, line 59-col. 5, line 54). In the process of Watanabe, a substrate is provided (acrylonitrile) which is converted to the ethylenically unsaturated monomer (acrylamide) by contacting the substrate with the biocatalyst (cells or enzyme; abstract. col. 4, line 59-col. 5, line 54). The process of Watanabe uses whole cells as the biocatalyst and the process is part of a metabolic process that occurs inside of the cells (col. 2, lines 11-15). Watanabe teach that proteins (enzymes) may leak from the cells; thus, this cellular material, which would have become a component of a fermentation broth during fermentation, would be present in the monomer mixture (col. 2, lines 15-18). Watanabe teaches that the organism has nitrilastic activity that converts acrylonitrile to acrylamide, i.e. the organism contains a nitrile hydratase (col. 2, lines 43-

Application/Control Number: 10/580,447

Art Unit: 1651

 Watanabe teaches a composition comprising a polymer of ethylenically unsaturated monomer and components of fermentation broth (col. 5, lines 23-33).

Therefore, Watanabe anticipates all of the limitations of the cited claims.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1651

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-11, 14-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yamada et al. (US 5,334,519), when taken in view of Seki et al. (US Pat. 5,352,828). Claim 1 recites a process for preparing a polymer of an ethylenically unsaturated monomer, in which the monomer is obtained from a biocatalysed reaction or fermentation process, and wherein the monomer contains cellular material and/or components of a fermentation process; forming the polymer by polymerizing the ethylenically unsaturated monomer or monomer mixture comprising the ethylenically unsaturated monomer and cellular material and/or components of a fermentation. Claim 2 recites the process according to claim 1 wherein the ethylenically unsaturated monomer is prepared by providing a substrate that can be converted into an ethylenically unsaturated monomer, contacting the substrate with a biocatalyst which comprises a microorganism or cellular material and thereby converting the substrate into the ethylenically unsaturated monomer

Art Unit: 1651

containing the cellular material and/or components of a fermentation broth and that this process is carried out inside or outside of an the cell and where it is carried out inside the cell and where it is carried out inside the cell it optionally forms part of the metabolic pathway of the microorganism. Claim 3 recites the process according to claim 2 in which the biocatalyst comprises a microorganism and wherein the process is carried out inside the cell and forms part of a metabolic process of the microorganism. Claim 4 recites the process according to claim 1 in which the cellular material comprises whole cells. Claim 5 recites the process according to claim 1 in which the cellular material comprises fractured cellular material. Claim 6 recites the process according to claim 5 in which the fractured cellular material is selected from the group consisting of cell wall material, cell membrane material, cell nucleus material, cytoplasm and proteins. Claim 7 recites the process according to claim 1 in which the components of the fermentation broth are selected from the group consisting of sugars, polysaccharides, proteins, peptides, amino acids, nitrogen sources, inorganic salts (including metal salts). vitamins, growth regulators, enzyme inducers and complex fermentation medium components. Claim 8 recites the process according to claim 1 in which the ethylenically unsaturated monomer is methacrylamide monomer. Claim 9 recites the process according to claim 2 in which the substrate is methacrylonitrile. Claim 10 recites the process according to claim 2 in which the biocatalyst comprises a nitrile hydratase enzyme. Claim 11 recites the process according to claim 1 in which the polymer is a homopolymer or copolymer of methacrylamide. Claim 12 recites the process according to claim 1 in which the ethylenically unsaturated monomer is selected from the group

Application/Control Number: 10/580,447
Art Unit: 1651

consisting of itaconic acid (or salts thereof), maleic acid (or salts thereof) and methacrylic acid or salts and derivatives thereof. Claim 14 recites the process according to claim 2 in which the substrate is introduced into a vessel and contacted with a biocatalyst and wherein the substrate is converted into the ethylenically unsaturated monomer, optionally introduction other monomers into the vessel to form a monomer mixture, subjecting the ethylenically unsaturated monomer or monomer mixture to polymerization conditions, optionally by introducing initiators into the vessel, and thereby forming the polymer inside the vessel. Claim 15 recites a process according to claim 14 in which the biocatalyst is produced inside the vessel. Claim 16 recites a process according to claim 2 in which the biocatalyst comprises microorganisms of the *Rhodoccocus* genus. Claim 18 recites a composition comprising a polymer of an ethylenically unsaturated monomer and further comprising cellular material and/or components of a fermentation broth, wherein the composition is obtained by a process according to claim 1.

7. Yamada teaches a process for preparing an acryamide (an ethylenically unsaturated monomer such as methacrylamide) in which the monomer is obtained from a biocatalysed reaction or fermentation process wherein the substrate (a nitrile such as methacrylonitrile) is contacted by a biocatalyst which comprises a microorganism or cellular material and thereby converted into the monomer (abstract, col. 12, lines 12-40). In the process of Yamada, after the substrate is converted into a monomer, it contains cellular material and/or components of the fermentation medium, such as complex fermentation components (col. 12, lines 12-40). In the process of Yamada, the

Application/Control Number: 10/580,447

Art Unit: 1651

cellular material may comprise whole cells or fractured cellular material, such as cell wall material, and the process would inherently occur inside of the cell and form part of a metabolic process (col. 8, lines 1-39). Yamada teaches that the biocatalyst comprises Rhodococcus rhodochrous, which comprises nitrile hydratase (abstract). The process of Yamada occurs inside of a bioreactor or vessel (col. 8, lines 21-25, col. 12, lines 12-40).

- 8. Yamada does not teach the formation of a polymer (homopolymer or copolymer of methacrylamide) in the vessel comprising the ethylenically unsaturated monomer wherein the unsaturated monomer comprises cellular material and/or components of the fermentation broth.
- Seki teaches that, under most conditions, polymerization of a solution of acrylamide, an ethylenically unsaturated monomer, will occur (col. 2, lines 13-19).
- 10. At the time of the invention, a process of preparing a monomer comprising nearly all of the claimed elements was known, as taught by Yamada. It was further known that solutions of monomers are likely to polymerize if they are not stabilized. Since the method of Yamada does not explicitly teach stabilizing the fermentation broth against polymerization, it is either inherent to the teachings of Yamada, or it would occur during routine optimization and experimentation, that polymerization of the fermentation broth would occur. One of ordinary skill in the art would have a reasonable expectation of success in polymerizing the fermentation broth taught by Yamada because polymerization of acrylamide solutions is known to occur in such solutions spontaneously, as taught by Seki. The spontaneously produced polymer of Yamada

Application/Control Number: 10/580,447

Art Unit: 1651

would either be a homopolymer or copolymer of methacrylamide. Therefore, Yamada anticipates the cited claims, or, in the alternative, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings discussed above to arrive at the claimed invention.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 13. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 1651

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

14 Claims 1-12 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yamada et al. (US 5,334,519) in view of Seki et al. (US Pat. 5,352,828) and Leonova et al. (Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology, 2000, 88:231-241, document cited in IDS). Claim 1 recites a process for preparing a polymer of an ethylenically unsaturated monomer, in which the monomer is obtained from a biocatalysed reaction or fermentation process, and wherein the monomer contains cellular material and/or components of a fermentation process; forming the polymer by polymerizing the ethylenically unsaturated monomer or monomer mixture comprising the ethylenically unsaturated monomer and cellular material and/or components of a fermentation. Claim 2 recites the process according to claim 1 wherein the ethylenically unsaturated monomer is prepared by providing a substrate that can be converted into an ethylenically unsaturated monomer, contacting the substrate with a biocatalyst which comprises a microorganism or cellular material and thereby converting the substrate into the ethylenically unsaturated monomer containing the cellular material and/or components of a fermentation broth and that this process is carried out inside or outside of an the cell and where it is carried out inside the cell and where it is carried out inside the cell it optionally forms part of the metabolic pathway of the microorganism. Claim 3 recites the process according to claim 2 in which the biocatalyst comprises a microorganism and wherein the process is carried out inside the cell and forms part of a

Art Unit: 1651

metabolic process of the microorganism. Claim 4 recites the process according to claim 1 in which the cellular material comprises whole cells. Claim 5 recites the process according to claim 1 in which the cellular material comprises fractured cellular material. Claim 6 recites the process according to claim 5 in which the fractured cellular material is selected from the group consisting of cell wall material, cell membrane material, cell nucleus material, cytoplasm and proteins. Claim 7 recites the process according to claim 1 in which the components of the fermentation broth are selected from the group consisting of sugars, polysaccharides, proteins, peptides, amino acids, nitrogen sources, inorganic salts (including metal salts), vitamins, growth regulators, enzyme inducers and complex fermentation medium components. Claim 8 recites the process according to claim 1 in which the ethylenically unsaturated monomer is methacrylamide monomer. Claim 9 recites the process according to claim 2 in which the substrate is methacrylonitrile. Claim 10 recites the process according to claim 2 in which the biocatalyst comprises a nitrile hydratase enzyme. Claim 11 recites the process according to claim 1 in which the polymer is a homopolymer or copolymer of methacrylamide. Claim 12 recites the process according to claim 1 in which the ethylenically unsaturated monomer is selected from the group consisting of itaconic acid (or salts thereof), maleic acid (or salts thereof) and methacrylic acid or salts and derivatives thereof. Claim 14 recites the process according to claim 2 in which the substrate is introduced into a vessel and contacted with a biocatalyst and wherein the substrate is converted into the ethylenically unsaturated monomer, optionally introduction other monomers into the vessel to form a monomer mixture, subjecting the

Application/Control Number: 10/580,447

Art Unit: 1651

ethylenically unsaturated monomer or monomer mixture to polymerization conditions, optionally by introducing initiators into the vessel, and thereby forming the polymer inside the vessel. Claim 15 recites a process according to claim 14 in which the biocatalyst is produced inside the vessel. Claim 16 recites a process according to claim 2 in which the biocatalyst comprises microorganisms of the *Rhodoccocus* genus. Claim 17 recites the process of claim 16 wherein the microorganism is *Rhodoccocus* rhodochrous NCIMB 41164. Claim 18 recites a composition comprising a polymer of an ethylenically unsaturated monomer and further comprising cellular material and/or components of a fermentation broth, wherein the composition is obtained by a process according to claim 1.

15. Yamada teaches a process for preparing an acryamide (an ethylenically unsaturated monomer such as methacrylamide) in which the monomer is obtained from a biocatalysed reaction or fermentation process wherein the substrate (a nitrile such as methacrylonitrile) is contacted by a biocatalyst which comprises a microorganism or cellular material and thereby converted into the monomer (abstract, col. 12, lines 12-40). In the process of Yamada, after the substrate is converted into a monomer, it contains cellular material and/or components of the fermentation medium, such as complex fermentation components (col. 12, lines 12-40). In the process of Yamada, the cellular material may comprise whole cells or fractured cellular material, such as cell wall material, and the process would inherently occur inside of the cell and form part of a metabolic process (col. 8, lines 1-39). Yamada teaches that the biocatalyst comprises *Rhodococcus rhodochrous*, which comprises nitrile hydratase (abstract). The process

Art Unit: 1651

of Yamada occurs inside of a bioreactor or vessel (col. 8, lines 21-25, col. 12, lines 12-40).

- 16. Yamada does not teach the formation of a polymer (homopolymer or copolymer of methacrylamide) in the vessel comprising the ethylenically unsaturated monomer wherein the unsaturated monomer comprises cellular material and/or components of the fermentation broth. Yamada does not specifically teach the use of *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* NCIMB 41164 as the biocatalyst.
- Seki teaches that, under most conditions, polymerization of a solution of acrylamide, an ethylenically unsaturated monomer, will occur (col. 2, lines 13-19).
- 18. Leonova teaches the production of nitrile hydratase, the enzyme which converts a nitrile to an amide and which is recited in the instant claims, by the organism Rhodococcus rhodochrous M8.
- 19. At the time of the invention, a process of preparing a monomer comprising nearly all of the claimed elements was known, as taught by Yamada. It was further known that solutions of monomers are likely to polymerize if they are not stabilized, as taught by Seki. Since the method of Yamada does not explicitly teach stabilizing the fermentation broth against polymerization, it is either inherent to the teachings of Yamada, or it would occur during routine optimization and experimentation, that polymerization of the fermentation broth would occur. One of ordinary skill in the art would have a reasonable expectation of success in polymerizing the fermentation broth taught by Yamada because polymerization of acrylamide solutions is known to occur in such solutions spontaneously, as taught by Seki. The spontaneously produced polymer of Yamada

Application/Control Number: 10/580,447

Art Unit: 1651

would either be a homopolymer or copolymer of methacrylamide. Furthermore, although none of the references specifically disclose the use of the claimed strain in the method for the production of polymers, the microbial species *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* was known in the time of the art to perform the biocatalytic reaction recited in the claims. The selection of a strain of a known organism for use in a known method would have been a matter of routine experimentation to one of ordinary skill in the art. One of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in using a strain of *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* in the claimed method because members of the species were known at the time of the invention to be useful for the production of the monomers recited in the claims. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings discussed above to arrive at the claimed invention.

## Response to Arguments

- 20. Applicant's arguments filed November 1, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Watanabe reference does not anticipate the claims because it does not teach polymerization in the presence of cellular material.
- 21. In response to applicant's argument that the Watanabe reference does not teach polymerization in the presence of cellular material, applicant is directed to Watanabe at col. 5, lines 14-39, wherein the reference teaches that the acrylamide solution was prepared from fixed cells. collected and concentrated without any purification, and

Art Unit: 1651

polymerized. Applicant is further directed to Watanabe at col. 5, lines 40-53, wherein the reference teaches that, in a comparative example, cells were prepared in conditions which did not prevent swelling of the fixed cells (described further at col. 2, lines 28-42) and the acrylamide solution prepared therefrom was polymerized in the same manner as in the aforementioned passage. At col. 2, lines 5-25, Watanabe discusses that, when cells are prepared as they were in the comparative example, cellular material can leak from the fixed cells. Even if, as applicant argues, no biomaterial is present in the acrylamide solution due to Watanabe's usage of fixed cells in example 1, cellular material that leaked from the cells would have been present in the acrylamide solution used in Watanabe's comparative example 1. Watanabe teaches that polymerization of the acrylamide solution was carried out in the same manner in both these examples. Therefore, Watanabe teaches polymerization in the presence of cellular material.

22. Thus applicant's arguments have been fully considered, but they have not been found to be persuasive.

#### Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheridan R. MacAuley whose telephone number is (571) 270-3056. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 7:30AM-5:00PM EST, alternate Fridays.

Art Unit: 1651

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (571) 272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SRM /Ruth A. Davis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651